TWO IDEALS CONNECTED WITH STRONG RIGHT UPPER POROSITY AT A POINT

V. Bilet, O. Dovgoshey, J. Prestin

Abstract. Let $\boldsymbol{SP}$ be the set of upper strongly porous at 0 subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$ and let $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$ be the intersection of maximal ideals $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{SP}$. Some characteristic properties of sets $E \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$ are obtained. It is shown that the ideal generated by the so-called completely strongly porous at 0 subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$ is a proper subideal of $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$.

Key words: one-side porosity, local strong upper porosity, completely strongly porous set, ideal.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 28A10, 28A05

arXiv:1405.2719v1 [math.CA] 12 May 2014

1 Introduction

The basic ideas concerning the notion of set porosity for the first time appeared in some early works of Denjoy [1], [2] and Khintchine [13] and then arose independently in the study of cluster sets in 1967 (Dolženko [3]). A useful collection of facts related to the notion of porosity can be found, for example, in [6], [8], [17] and [18]. The porosity appears naturally in many problems and plays an implicit role in various arias of analysis (e. g., the cluster sets [20], the Julia sets [14], the quasisymmetric maps [19], the differential theory [10], the theory of generalized subharmonic functions [5] and so on). The reader can also consult [21] and [22] for more information.

The porosity found interesting applications in connection with ideals of sets. Well-known results for ideals of compact sets can be found, for example, in [11] and [12]. In many papers the authors investigate different characteristics (set-theoretic, descriptive, analytic) of the ideals of porous sets (see, e. g., [15], [23], [24]). Some questions related to the order isomorphism between the principal ideals of porous sets of $\mathbb{R}$ were studied in [16]. Our paper is also a contribution to this line of research, in particular, we investigate two ideals, whose elements are upper strongly porous at 0 subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

2 Right upper porosity at a point

Let us recall the definition of the right upper porosity at a point. Let E be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.1. *The right upper porosity of E at 0 is the number*

$$p^+(E, 0) := \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\lambda(E, 0, h)}{h} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda(E, 0, h)$ is the length of the largest open subinterval of $(0, h)$, which could be the empty set $\varnothing$, that contains no point of E. The set E is porous on the right at 0 if $p^+(E, 0) > 0$ and E is strongly porous on the right at 0 if $p^+(E, 0) = 1$.

For the remaining of the paper, when the porosity is considered, this will always be assumed to be the right upper porosity at 0.

For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ define the subsets $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{H}(E)$ of the set of sequences $\tilde{h} = \{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $h_n \downarrow 0$ by the rules:

$$(\tilde{h} \in \tilde{E}) \Leftrightarrow (h_n \in E \setminus \{0\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$(\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}(E)) \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\lambda(E, 0, h_n)}{h_n} \to 1 \quad \text{with} \quad n \to \infty \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where the number $\lambda(E, 0, h_n)$ is the same as in Definition 2.1.

Define also an *equivalence relation* $\asymp$ on the set of sequences of positive numbers as follows. Let $\tilde{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\tilde{a} \asymp \tilde{\gamma}$ if there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 a_n \le \gamma_n \le c_2 a_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. The set E is completely strongly porous on the right at 0 if for every $\tilde{\tau} = \{\tau_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{E}$ there is $\tilde{h} = \{h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{H}(E)$ such that $\tilde{\tau} \asymp \tilde{h}$.*

In what follows we denote by $\boldsymbol{SP}$ and $\boldsymbol{CSP}$ the collection (i.e., the set) of sets $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ which are strongly porous on the right at 0 and completely strongly porous on the right at 0 respectively. The set $\boldsymbol{CSP}$ was introduced and studied in [4] with slightly different, but equivalent definition.

Definition 2.3. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. The q-blow up of E is the set*

$$E(q) := \bigcup_{x\in E} (q^{-1}x, qx).$$

The goal of the paper is to find some blow up characterizations for the intersection of maximal ideals $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{SP}$ and for the ideal generated by $\boldsymbol{CSP}$.

3 Down sets and ideals

Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a collection of sets. We shall say that $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a *down set* if the implication

$$(B \in \boldsymbol{A} \ \wedge \ C \subseteq B) \Rightarrow (C \in \boldsymbol{A}) \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all sets C and B (cf. [7, p. 29]). If $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is an arbitrary collection of sets, we write

$$V = V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) := \bigcup_{A\in\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} A.$$

Definition 3.1. *A collection $\boldsymbol{I}$ of subsets of a set X is an ideal on X if the following conditions hold:*
(i) *$\boldsymbol{I}$ is a down set;*
(ii) *$B \cup C \in \boldsymbol{I}$ for all $B, C \in \boldsymbol{I}$;*
(iii) *$X \notin \boldsymbol{I}$.*

Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a down set. Define a set $I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \subseteq 2^V$ by the rule

$$(B \in I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})) \Leftrightarrow (\exists\ n \in \mathbb{N}\ \exists\ A_1, ..., A_n \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma} : B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j). \tag{3.2}$$

If $V \notin I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$, then $I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is an ideal on V such that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \subseteq I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ and the implication

$$(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}}) \Rightarrow (I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}})$$

holds for every ideal $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}}$ on V. In what follows we shall say that $I(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is the *ideal generated by* $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.

Definition 3.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be an arbitrary collection of sets. An ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ on $V = V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal if $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and the implication*

$$(\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \Rightarrow (\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}}) \tag{3.3}$$

holds for every ideal $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{I}}$ on V.

Write $M(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ for the set of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals and define an ideal $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ as

$$\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) := \bigcap_{\boldsymbol{I} \in M(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})} \boldsymbol{I}, \tag{3.4}$$

i. e., $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is the intersection of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals.

The paper contains the following main results.

- *A characteristic property of sets which belong to the intersection $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals with an arbitrary down set $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.* (See Theorem 4.4).
- *The blow up characterizations of the ideals $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$ and $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.* (See theorems 6.6 and 7.6).
- *The proper inclusion $I(\boldsymbol{CSP}) \subset \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$.* (See Corollary 7.7 and Example 7.8).

Remark 3.3. The sets $\boldsymbol{SP}$ and $\boldsymbol{CSP}$ are down sets and no one from these sets is an ideal on $\mathbb{R}^+$. The definition of ideals via the down sets seems to be new, but it is easy to prove that this definition is equivalent to the usual definition of the ideals of sets. (See, for example, [9, p. 202]).

Remark 3.4. The $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals are a generalization of the prime ideals. Indeed, if $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = 2^V$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ is an ideal on V, then it may be proved that $\boldsymbol{I}$ is a prime ideal on V if and only if $\boldsymbol{I}$ is $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal.

4 A property of the intersection of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals

We start with a useful property of an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a nonempty collection of sets. The following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a down set and $V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \notin \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$;*

(ii) *For every $A \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ there exists a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ such that $A \in \boldsymbol{I}$.*

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that (ii) holds. Let $A \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Using (ii), we can find a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal $\boldsymbol{I} \ni A$. Then $2^A \subseteq \boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ holds. Hence $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a down set. Suppose now that $V \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. By (ii), there is a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ such that

$$V \in \boldsymbol{I}. \tag{4.1}$$

The ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ is an ideal on V. Hence $V \notin \boldsymbol{I}$, contrary to (4.1).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that (i) holds. Let $A \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Then $2^A \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and 2^A is an ideal on V. Using Zorn's Lemma, we can find a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ such that $\boldsymbol{I} \supseteq 2^A$. It is clear that $A \in \boldsymbol{I}$ holds. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows. □

Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a collection of sets. We shall denote by $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ the collection of sets S satisfying the condition

$$S \cup B \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \tag{4.2}$$

for every $B \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.

Remark 4.2. It is clear that $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is a down set, if $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a down set.

Lemma 4.3. *If $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a nonempty collection of sets, then*

$$(V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \Leftrightarrow (V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \in I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}))$$

holds.

Proof. Let $V \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Then we have $B \cup V = V \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ for every $B \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Hence $V \in I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$.
Let now $V \in I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ and $B \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. The inclusion $B \subseteq V$ holds. Thus, $V = B \cup V \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a nonempty down set and let*

$$V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \notin \boldsymbol{\Gamma}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the equality

$$I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \tag{4.4}$$

holds where $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is defined by (3.4).

Proof. Let us prove the inclusion

$$I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \subseteq \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}). \tag{4.5}$$

Using (3.4), we can see that (4.5) holds if and only if

$$A \in \boldsymbol{I} \quad \text{for every } \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\text{-maximal ideal } \boldsymbol{I} \text{ and every } A \in I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}). \tag{4.6}$$

Let A be an arbitrary element of $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ and let $\boldsymbol{I}$ be a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal. Define a set $\boldsymbol{I}(A)$ as

$$\boldsymbol{I}(A) := \{B \cup K : B \subseteq A \text{ and } K \in \boldsymbol{I}\}. \tag{4.7}$$

The trivial inclusion $\varnothing \subseteq A$ implies that $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{I}(A)$. It follows from Definition 3.2 that $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Since $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is a down set (see Remark 4.2), the relations

$$B \subseteq A \in I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad K \in \boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$$

yield

$$B \cup K \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence

$$\boldsymbol{I}(A) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}. \tag{4.9}$$

Moreover, (4.8), (4.7) and (4.3) imply that $V \notin \boldsymbol{I}(A)$. Since $\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ are down sets, the definition of $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ and (4.7) imply that $\boldsymbol{I}(A)$ is a down set. If, for $i = 1, 2$, $B_i \cup K_i \in \boldsymbol{I}(A)$ with $B_i \subseteq A$ and $K_i \in \boldsymbol{I}$, then, by the definition of ideals, $K_1 \cup K_2 \in \boldsymbol{I}$ and, moreover, $B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq A$. Consequently, from the equality

$$(B_1 \cup K_1) \cup (B_2 \cup K_2) = (B_1 \cup B_2) \cup (K_1 \cup K_2)$$

we obtain

$$(B_1 \cup K_1) \cup (B_2 \cup K_2) \in \boldsymbol{I}(A).$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{I}(A)$ is an ideal on V. Since $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{I}(A)$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ is $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal, from (4.9) and (3.3) we obtain the equality

$$\boldsymbol{I}(A) = \boldsymbol{I}. \tag{4.10}$$

The membership $A \in \boldsymbol{I}(A)$ and (4.10) yield (4.6).

Consider now the inclusion

$$\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \subseteq I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}). \tag{4.11}$$

If (4.11) does not hold, then we can find $A \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ and $B \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ so that

$$A \cup B \notin \boldsymbol{\Gamma}. \tag{4.12}$$

By Lemma 4.1, there is a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideal $\boldsymbol{I}$ such that $B \in \boldsymbol{I}$. The membership $A \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ yields that $A \in \boldsymbol{I}$. Since $\boldsymbol{I}$ is an ideal, from $A \in \boldsymbol{I}$ and $B \in \boldsymbol{I}$ it follows that $A \cup B \in \boldsymbol{I} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, contrary to (4.12). □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a nonempty down set. Then the collection $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is an ideal on V if and only if $V \notin \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. The intersection of an arbitrary nonempty set of ideals is an ideal. The set of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$-maximal ideals is nonempty, because $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \neq \varnothing$. Consequently, $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is an ideal on $V = V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$. Hence, by Theorem 4.4, $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is an ideal on V.

Conversely, if $I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is an ideal on V, then condition (iii) from the definition of ideals implies that $V \notin I^*(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$. Using Lemma 4.3, we obtain that $V \notin \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. □

Remark 4.6. If $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a down set and $V(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, then, as is easily seen, the equality $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \{\varnothing\}$ holds, so that, in this case, the question about the structure of $\hat{I}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ is trivial.

5 Blow up of sets

Recall that for $q > 1$ and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ we define the q-blow up of E as

$$E(q) := \bigcup_{x \in E} (q^{-1}x, qx). \tag{5.1}$$

Remark 5.1. For all $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$, we have

$$(0 \notin E) \Leftrightarrow (E(q) \supseteq E). \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed, the implication $(0 \notin E) \Rightarrow (E(q) \supseteq E)$ is evident. Conversely, suppose that $0 \in E$. Since $0 \notin (q^{-1}x, qx)$ for every nonzero x and $(q^{-1}0, q0) = (0, 0) = \varnothing$, we obtain $0 \notin E(q)$. Thus (5.2) follows.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $0 < a < b < \infty$. The following statements hold.*

(i) *If $q \geq \frac{b}{a}$ and $\varnothing \neq E \subseteq (a, b)$, then the set $E(q)$ is an open interval such that $E(q) \supseteq (a, b)$.*

(ii) *If $E = (a, b)$, then $E(q) = (q^{-1}a, qb)$ for every $q > 1$.*

The proof is simple and omitted here.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A and B be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$, let $t > 0$ and let*

$$(0, t) \cap B \subseteq (0, t) \cap A \tag{5.3}$$

hold. Then the inclusion

$$(0, tq^{-1}) \cap B(q) \subseteq (0, tq^{-1}) \cap A(q) \tag{5.4}$$

holds for every $q > 1$.

Proof. Let $q > 1$ and let $x \in (0, tq^{-1}) \cap B(q)$. Then we have

$$0 < x < tq^{-1} \tag{5.5}$$

and there is $y \in B$ such that

$$q^{-1}y < x < qy. \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (5.5) and (5.6), that $q^{-1}y < x < tq^{-1}$. Consequently, $y < t$ holds. The last inequality, $y \in B$ and (5.3) imply

$$y \in (0, t) \cap B \subseteq (0, t) \cap A,$$

so that $y \in (0, t)$ and $y \in A$. These relations yield

$$(q^{-1}y, qy) \subseteq (0, tq) \quad \text{and} \quad (q^{-1}y, qy) \subseteq A(q)$$

because $(E \subseteq F) \Rightarrow (E(q) \subseteq F(q))$ holds for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. Consequently we have

$$x \in (q^{-1}y, qy) \subseteq (0, tq) \cap A(q)$$

for every $x \in (0, tq^{-1}) \cap B(q)$, i. e.

$$(0, tq^{-1}) \cap B(q) \subseteq (0, tq) \cap A(q). \tag{5.7}$$

The inclusion $(0, tq^{-1}) \subseteq (0, tq)$ and (5.7) imply that

$$(0, tq^{-1}) \cap B(q) \subseteq (0, tq^{-1}) \cap (0, tq) \cap A(q) \subseteq (0, tq^{-1}) \cap A(q).$$

Hence, inclusion (5.4) follows immediately. □

Remark 5.4. The constant q^{-1} is exact in the sense that for every $c > q^{-1}$ we can find A and B so that (5.3) holds and

$$(0, ct) \cap B(q) \not\subseteq (0, ct) \cap A(q).$$

To see this, put $B = [t, \infty)$ and $A = \{0\}$. Then we obtain $(0, t) \cap B(q) = (0, t) \cap A = (0, ct) \cap A(q) = \varnothing$ and $(0, ct) \cap B(q) = (0, ct) \cap [q^{-1}t, \infty) = [q^{-1}t, ct) \neq \varnothing$ for all $q > 1$ and $c \in (q^{-1}, \infty)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $E \notin \boldsymbol{SP}$. Then there are $q > 1$ and $t > 0$ such that the equality*

$$E(q) \cap (0, t) = (0, t) \tag{5.8}$$

holds.

Proof. Since E is not strongly porous on the right at 0, there is $s \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{h \to 0+} \frac{\lambda(E, 0, h)}{h} < s,$$

where $\lambda(E, 0, h)$ is the length of the largest open subinterval of $(0, h)$ that contains no point of E (see Definition 2.1). Consequently, there exists $t > 0$ such that, for every $y \in (0, t) \setminus E$, there exists $x \in E$ satisfying the inequalities

$$x < y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{y - x}{y} < s.$$

These inequalities imply that

$$x < y < \frac{x}{1 - s}.$$

Hence, $y \in (q^{-1}x, qx)$ holds with $q = \frac{1}{1-s}$. Thus, the inclusion

$$(0, t) \setminus E \subseteq E(q)$$

holds for such q. Since $E \cap (0, t) \subseteq E(q)$ holds for all $t > 0$ and $q > 1$, we obtain

$$(0, t) = (E \cap (0, t)) \cup ((0, t) \setminus E) \subseteq E(q) \cup E(q) = E(q).$$

Thus,

$$(0, t) \subseteq (0, t) \cap E(q) \subseteq (0, t),$$

which implies (5.8). □

6 Blow up of strongly porous at 0 sets

Let us prove that the q-blow up preserves $\boldsymbol{SP}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. Then E belongs to $\boldsymbol{SP}$ if and only if $E(q)$ belongs to $\boldsymbol{SP}$.*

Proof. Since $E(q) = (E \setminus \{0\})(q)$ and

$$(E \in \boldsymbol{SP}) \Leftrightarrow (E \setminus \{0\} \in \boldsymbol{SP}),$$

we may assume that $0 \notin E$. In accordance with (5.2), this assumption implies the inclusion

$$E \subseteq E(q). \tag{6.1}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{SP}$ is a down set, the implication $(E(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP}) \Rightarrow (E \in \boldsymbol{SP})$ follows.

Let $E \in \boldsymbol{SP}$. Then there is a sequence $\{(a_n, b_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $0 < a_n < b_n$, $b_n \downarrow 0$, $(a_n, b_n) \cap E = \varnothing$ and $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 0$. It is easy to prove that $qa_n < q^{-1}b_n$ and $(qa_n, q^{-1}b_n) \cap E(q) = \varnothing$ for all sufficiently large n. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{qa_n}{q^{-1}b_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} q^2 \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 0,$$

the set $E(q)$ is strongly porous on the right at 0. The implication $(E \in \boldsymbol{SP}) \Rightarrow (E(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP})$ follows. Thus,

$$(E \in \boldsymbol{SP}) \Leftrightarrow (E(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP})$$

holds. □

Corollary 6.2. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. Then $E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ holds if and only if $E(q) \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we may suppose that $E(q) \supseteq E$. This yields $(E(q) \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})) \Rightarrow (E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP}))$. Let $E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$. The relation $E(q) \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ holds if and only if

$$E(q) \cup B \in \boldsymbol{SP} \quad \text{for every } B \in \boldsymbol{SP}. \tag{6.2}$$

Using the relation

$$(B \in \boldsymbol{SP}) \Leftrightarrow (B \setminus \{0\} \in \boldsymbol{SP})$$

we may consider only the case where $0 \notin B$. The membership $E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ implies $E \cup B \in \boldsymbol{SP}$. Consequently, by statement (ii) of Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$E(q) \cup B(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP}. \tag{6.3}$$

Since $0 \notin B$, the inclusion $B \subseteq B(q)$ holds. The last inclusion and (6.3) yield (6.2). □

Let A and B be nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$. We define $A \prec B$ if $b < a$ holds for every $b \in B$ and $a \in A$. Furthermore, we set

$$A \preceq B \quad \text{if} \quad A = B \quad \text{or} \quad A \prec B.$$

The relation $\preceq$ is a partial order on the set of nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$. A chain (i.e., a linearly ordered set) $(P, \leq_P)$ is said to be well-ordered if every nonempty subset X of P contains a smallest element, i.e., an element $x \in X$ such that $x \leq_P y$ for every $y \in X$.

It is easy to prove, that for every nonempty $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, the set $\mathrm{Cc}A$ of connected components of A is a chain w. r. t. the partial order $\preceq$. Define a set $\mathrm{Cc}^1 A$ by the rule:

$$B \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 A \quad \text{if} \quad B \in \mathrm{Cc}A \quad \text{and} \quad B \subset (0, 1].$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\varnothing \neq E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $q > 1$. Then the chain $(\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q), \preceq)$ is well-ordered.*

Proof. If there is $X \subseteq \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$, which does not have a smallest element, then there is a sequence $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(a_1, b_1) \succ (a_2, b_2) \succ ...(a_i, b_i) \succ (a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) \succ ...$$

with $(a_i, b_i) \in X$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The equalities

$$\ln a_1^{-1} = (\ln a_1^{-1} - \ln b_1^{-1}) + \ln b_1^{-1}$$

$$= (\ln a_1^{-1} - \ln b_1^{-1}) + (\ln b_1^{-1} - \ln a_2^{-1}) + (\ln a_2^{-1} - \ln b_2^{-1}) + \ln b_2^{-1}$$

$$= ... = \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (\ln a_k^{-1} - \ln b_k^{-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (\ln b_k^{-1} - \ln a_{k+1}^{-1}) + \ln b_{i+1}^{-1}$$

and the inequalities

$$\ln a_k^{-1} > \ln b_k^{-1} \geq \ln a_{k+1}^{-1} > \ln b_{k+1}^{-1} \geq 0,$$

$k = 1, ..., i+1$ imply that

$$\ln a_1^{-1} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (\ln a_k^{-1} - \ln b_k^{-1}). \tag{6.4}$$

Since $X \subseteq \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$, the intersection $(a_k, b_k) \cap E$ is nonempty for every $k = 1, ..., i$. It follows directly from the definition of q-blow up, that the inclusion

$$(q^{-1}x, qx) \subseteq (a_k, b_k) \tag{6.5}$$

holds for every $x \in E \cap (a_k, b_k)$. Conditions (6.4) and (6.5) yield the inequalities

$$\ln a_1^{-1} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} \ln \frac{b_k}{a_k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} \ln q^2 = 2(i+1) \ln q.$$

Letting $i \to \infty$, we obtain the equality $\ln a_1^{-1} = \infty$, contrary to $(a_1, b_1) \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$. □

The proof of Lemma 6.3 shows, in particular, that for given $q > 1$ and $(a, b) \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$, the set $\{(c, d) \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) : (c, d) \preceq (a, b)\}$ is finite. This finiteness together with Lemma 6.3 implies the following

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\varnothing \neq E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $q > 1$. If $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) \neq \varnothing$, then the chain $(\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q), \preceq)$ is isomorphic either the first infinite ordinal number ω or an initial segment of ω.*

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, we use the symbol $\mathrm{ac}E$ to denote the set of its accumulation points.

Remark 6.5. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. Then $(\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q), \preceq)$ is isomorphic to ω if and only if $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E(q)$ and $0 \in \mathrm{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus E(q))$. In particular, if $E \in \boldsymbol{SP}$, then $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$ is isomorphic to ω if and only if $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E$.

Corollary 6.4 means, in particular, that for every infinite $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$ there is a unique sequence $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the logical equivalence

$$((a, b) \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists\, i \in \mathbb{N} : (a, b) = (a_i, b_i)) \tag{6.6}$$

holds for every interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and logical equivalence

$$((a_i, b_i) \prec (a_j, b_j)) \Leftrightarrow (i < j) \tag{6.7}$$

holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. If a sequence $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (6.6) − (6.7) we shall write

$$\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

The following theorem is a blow up characterization of the ideal $\hat{I}(\mathbf{SP})$.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $E \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$.

(ii) *For every $q > 1$, the chain $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$ is infinite, $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and the inequality*

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} < \infty \tag{6.8}$$

holds.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii). In accordance with Theorem 4.4, the equality $\hat{I}(\mathbf{SP}) = I^*(\mathbf{SP})$ holds, so that $(E \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})) \Leftrightarrow (E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP}))$. Suppose that $E \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ and $q > 1$. Then, by Corollary 6.2, $E(q) \in I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ holds. Since $\boldsymbol{SP}$ is a down set, it follows directly from the definition of $I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ that $I^*(\boldsymbol{SP}) \subseteq \boldsymbol{SP}$. Consequently, the equality $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ holds. (See Remark 6.5). Suppose that

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} = \infty. \tag{6.9}$$

Let us consider the set

$$B := \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (a_i, b_i) \right).$$

Definition 2.1 and (6.9) imply that $B \in \boldsymbol{SP}$. Consequently, by the definition of $I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$ we must have $B \cup E(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP}$. It is clear from the definition of B that

$$(0, b_1) \subseteq B \cup E(q).$$

Hence the interval $(0, b_1)$ must be strongly porous on the right at 0, contrary to Definition 2.1. Hence (i) implies (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose now, that condition (ii) holds, but $E \notin I^*(\boldsymbol{SP})$. Then, there is $B \in \boldsymbol{SP}$ such that $B \cup E \notin \boldsymbol{SP}$. By Lemma 5.5, we can find $q > 1$ and $t > 0$ such that the q-blow-up of $B \cup E$ is a superset of the interval $(0, t)$, i. e.

$$B(q) \cup E(q) \supseteq (0, t). \tag{6.10}$$

Lemma 6.1 shows that $B(q) \in \boldsymbol{SP}$. Consequently, there is a sequence $\{(a_j^*, b_j^*)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open intervals (a_j^*, b_j^*) such that

$$0 < a_j^* < b_j^* < \infty,\ a_j^* \downarrow 0,\ (a_j^*, b_j^*) \cap B(q) = \varnothing \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{b_j^*}{a_j^*} = \infty \tag{6.11}$$

hold for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Inclusion (6.10) and relations (6.11) imply that $(a_j^*, b_j^*) \subseteq E(q)$ hold for all sufficiently large $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Using condition (ii) of the present lemma, we can find a subsequence $\{(a_{i_k}, b_{i_k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$ and a subsequence $\{(a_{j_k}^*, b_{j_k}^*)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{(a_j^*, b_j^*)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, so that $(a_{j_k}^*, b_{j_k}^*) \subseteq (a_{i_k}, b_{i_k})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} \geq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{b_{i_k}}{a_{i_k}} \geq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{b_{j_k}^*}{a_{j_k}^*} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{b_j^*}{a_j^*} = \infty,$$

contrary to (6.8). □

7 Ideal generated by *CSP*

The goal of the present section is to obtain the blow up characterization of the ideal $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Theorem 36 and Theorem 42 from [4].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then $E \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ if and only if there are $q > 1$, $t > 0$ and a decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $x_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 0$ and*

$$E \cap (0, t) \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (q^{-1}x_n, qx_n) \right) \cap (0, t).$$

In this section, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{n}$ the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $q > 1$. Then the following logical equivalence*

$$(E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})) \Leftrightarrow (E(q) \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP}))$$

holds.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we may assume that $0 \notin E$. In accordance with Remark 5.1, this assumption implies the inclusion

$$E \subseteq E(q). \tag{7.1}$$

Now the implication

$$(E(q) \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})) \Rightarrow (E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP}))$$

follows from (7.1), because $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$ is a down set. To prove the converse implication suppose that $E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$. Then there are $B_1, ..., B_n \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$, such that $E = B_1 \cup ... \cup B_n$. The last equality implies that $E(q) = B_1(q) \cup ... \cup B_n(q)$. Consequently $E(q) \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$ holds if $B_j(q) \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ for every $j \in \boldsymbol{n}$. By Lemma 7.1, for every $j \in \boldsymbol{n}$, we can find $q_j > 1$, $t_j > 0$, and a decreasing sequence $\{x_{k,j}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{x_{k+1,j}}{x_{k,j}} = 0$ and

$$(0, t_j) \cap B_j \subseteq (0, t_j) \cap \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (q_j^{-1} x_{k,j}, q_j x_{k,j}). \tag{7.2}$$

Statement (ii) of Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and (7.2) imply

$$(0, t_j q^{-1}) \cap B_j(q) \subseteq (0, t_j q^{-1}) \cap \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (q^{-1} q_j^{-1} x_{k,j}, q q_j x_{k,j}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.1, the statement $B_j(q) \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ holds for every $j \in \boldsymbol{n}$. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $q > 1$ and let $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that*

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} < \infty \tag{7.3}$$

and there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \bigvee_{j=0}^{N} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} = \infty \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$\bigvee_{j=0}^{N} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} = \max\left\{\frac{a_n}{b_{n+1}}, \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+2}}, ..., \frac{a_{n+N}}{b_{n+N+1}}\right\}.$$

Then there are $B_1, ..., B_{2N+2} \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ such that

$$E \subseteq B_1 \cup ... \cup B_{2N+2}. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Suppose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a number such that (7.4) holds. Let us define a sequence $\{F_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of sets $F_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ as $F_1 := \{1, ..., N+1\}$, $F_2 := \{(N+1)+1, ..., 2(N+1)\}$, $F_3 := \{2(N+1)+1, ..., 3(N+1)\}$ and so on. It is clear that $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \mathbb{N}$ and $F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_2} = \varnothing$ if $k_1 \neq k_2$, and

$$|F_k| = N + 1 \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $m_k \in F_k$ be a number satisfying the condition

$$\frac{a_{m_k}}{b_{m_k+1}} = \bigvee_{n\in F_k} \frac{a_n}{b_{n+1}}. \tag{7.7}$$

It follows from (7.4), (7.6) and (7.7) that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{m_k}}{b_{m_k+1}} = \infty. \tag{7.8}$$

The definition of F_k and (7.6) imply the double inequality

$$1 \le m_{k+1} - m_k \le 2N + 1. \tag{7.9}$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathfrak{F}_k$ the set of all connected components of $E(q)$, which lie between $[b_{m_k+2}, a_{m_k+1}]$ and $[b_{m_k+1}, a_{m_k}]$,

$$\mathfrak{F}_k := \{(a_n, b_n) : [b_{m_k+2}, a_{m_k+1}] \succ (a_n, b_n) \succ [b_{m_k+1}, a_{m_k}]\}. \tag{7.10}$$

It easy to show that

$$\bigcup_{k=m_1}^{\infty} (a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}_k \tag{7.11}$$

and $\mathfrak{F}_i \cap \mathfrak{F}_j = \varnothing$ if $i \ne j$. From (7.9) it also follows that $1 \le |\mathfrak{F}_k| \le 2N + 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of $\mathfrak{F}_k$ can be numbered (with some repetitions if it is necessary) in a finite sequence $(a_{k,1}, b_{k,1})$, $(a_{k,2}, b_{k,2})$, ..., $(a_{k,2N+1}, b_{k,2N+1})$. Using the inclusion

$$E(q) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) \cup (a_1, \infty)$$

and (7.11) we obtain

$$E(q) \subseteq \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2N+1} (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j}) \right) \cup (a_{m_1}, \infty) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2N+1} \left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j}) \right) \cup (a_{m_1}, \infty). \tag{7.12}$$

Write

$$B_j := \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j})$$

for every $j \in \mathbf{2N+1}$, where $\mathbf{2N+1} = \{1, ..., 2N+1\}$, and put $B_{2N+2} := \{0\} \cup (a_{m_1}, \infty)$. Now we have $E \subseteq E(q) \cup \{0\} \subseteq B_1 \cup ... \cup B_{2N+2}$. It still remains to prove that $B_j \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ for $j = 1, ..., 2N + 2$. The statement $B_{2N+2} \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ is clear. Let $j \in \mathbf{2N+1}$. In accordance with the Definition 2.2, the statement $B_j \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ holds if for every $\tilde{h} = \{h^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{B}_j$ there is $\tilde{a} = \{a^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{H}(B_j)$ such that $\tilde{h} \asymp \tilde{a}$. Inequality (7.3) and the definition of B_j imply that there is a positive constant $c > 1$ such that

$$a_{k,j} \le x \le c a_{k,j}$$

for every $x \in (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j})$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, if $\{h^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{B}_j$, then we have $\{h^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \asymp \{a^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$, where, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, a^l is the left endpoint of the interval $(a_{k,j}, b_{k,j})$ which contains h^l. Hence, $B_j \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ holds if $\{a_{k,j}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{H}(B_j)$, which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{k,j}}{b_{k+1,j}} = \infty. \tag{7.13}$$

Let us prove (7.13). It follows from (7.10) that

$$[b_{m_k+2}, a_{m_k+1}] \succ (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j}) \succ [b_{m_k+1}, a_{m_k}]$$

and

$$[b_{m_k+3}, a_{m_k+2}] \succ (a_{k+1,j}, b_{k+1,j}) \succ [b_{m_k+2}, a_{m_k+1}].$$

Hence we have

$$(a_{k+1,j}, b_{k+1,j}) \succ [b_{m_k+2}, a_{m_k+1}] \succ (a_{k,j}, b_{k,j}).$$

Consequently the inequality

$$\frac{a_{k,j}}{b_{k+1,j}} \le \frac{a_{m_{k+1}}}{b_{m_k+2}}$$

holds. The last inequality and (7.8) imply (7.13). □

Corollary 7.4. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. If there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 1$ so that $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$ is infinite and conditions* (7.3) *and* (7.4) *hold, then $E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.*

In the following lemma, as in Lemma 7.3, the equality $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ means that conditions (6.6) and (6.7) are satisfied.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$ and let $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E$. Then $\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ for every $q > 1$, and there are $q_0 > 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the conditions*

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} < \infty \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \bigvee_{j=0}^{M} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} = \infty \tag{7.15}$$

hold for every $q > q_0$.

Proof. It follows from the definition of $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$ that there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$E = B_1 \cup ... \cup B_N \quad \text{with some } B_1, ..., B_N \in \boldsymbol{CSP}. \tag{7.16}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{N} = \{1, ..., N\}$. We may assume $0 \in \mathrm{ac}B_j$ for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Indeed, if $0 \notin \mathrm{ac}B_j$ for all $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$, then

$$0 \notin \mathrm{ac}(B_1 \cup ... \cup B_N) = \mathrm{ac}E,$$

contrary to the condition $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E$. Hence, there is $j_1 \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that $0 \in \mathrm{ac}B_{j_1}$. Write

$$\boldsymbol{J}_0 := \{j \in \boldsymbol{N} : \mathrm{ac}B_j \not\ni 0\}, \quad \boldsymbol{J}_1 := \{j \in \boldsymbol{N} : \mathrm{ac}B_j \ni 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad B'_j := B_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i\in\boldsymbol{J}_0} B_i\right)$$

for every $j \in \boldsymbol{J}_1$. Renumbering the elements of $\boldsymbol{N}$, we may also assume that $\boldsymbol{J}_1 = \{1, ..., N_1\}$ with $N_1 \le N$. Then the representation

$$E = B'_1 \cup ... \cup B'_{N_1}$$

holds with $B'_j \in \boldsymbol{CSP}$ and $\mathrm{ac}B'_j \ni 0$ for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}_1$. Without loss of generality, we put $\boldsymbol{N}_1 = \boldsymbol{N}$ and $B_j = B'_j$ for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}_1$.

Using Lemma 7.1, for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we can find $q_j \in (1, \infty)$ and a strictly decreasing sequence $\{x_{j,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{x_{j,n+1}}{x_{j,n}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} x_{j,n} = 0, \tag{7.17}$$

so that the inclusion

$$B_j \cap (0, x_{j,1}) \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (q_j^{-1} x_{j,n}, q_j x_{j,n}) \tag{7.18}$$

holds. Write

$$B_{j,n} := B_j \cap (q_j^{-1} x_{j,n}, q_j x_{j,n}) \tag{7.19}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$, and define

$$B_{j,0} := B_j \cap [q_j x_{j,1}, \infty) \tag{7.20}$$

for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Inclusion (7.18) implies that

$$B_j \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{j,n} \tag{7.21}$$

and from (7.16) it follows that

$$E \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{j,n} \right). \tag{7.22}$$

Replacing the sequences $\{x_{j,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by suitable subsequences, we may assume that

$$B_{j,n} \neq \varnothing \quad \text{for every } j \in \boldsymbol{N} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.23}$$

Recall that $0 \in \mathrm{ac}B_j$ holds for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Let $q \geq \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2$. The statement (i) of Lemma 5.2 and (7.23) imply that $B_{j,n}(q)$ are open intervals. Write

$$B_{j,n}(q) := (r_{j,n}, s_{j,n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},\, j \in \boldsymbol{N}. \tag{7.24}$$

Consequently, from statement (ii) of Lemma 5.2 and

$$B_{j,n} \subseteq (q_j^{-1} x_{j,n}, q_j x_{j,n}) \quad \text{and} \quad q \geq \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2$$

it follows that

$$(r_{j,n}, s_{j,n}) = B_{j,n}(q) \subseteq (q^{-1} q_j^{-1} x_{j,n}, q q_j x_{j,n}) \subseteq (q^{-\frac{3}{2}} x_{j,n}, q^{\frac{3}{2}} x_{j,n}).$$

Hence the inequality

$$\frac{s_{j,n}}{r_{j,n}} \leq q^3 \tag{7.25}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Since

$$x_{j,n} \in (s_{j,n}, r_{j,n}) \quad \text{and} \quad x_{j,n+1} \in (s_{j,n+1}, r_{j,n+1}),$$

inequality (7.25) and limit relation (7.17) imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_{j,n}}{s_{j,n+1}} = \infty. \tag{7.26}$$

Hence there is $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{r_{j,n}}{s_{j,n+1}} \geq q^{3(N+1)} \tag{7.27}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \boldsymbol{m_1}$ and $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Using (7.25) and (7.27), we see, in particular, that

$$(r_{j,n_1}, s_{j,n_1}) \cap (r_{j,n_2}, s_{j,n_2}) = \varnothing \tag{7.28}$$

if $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \boldsymbol{m_1}$, $n_1 \neq n_2$ and $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. This disjointness together with (7.21) and (7.24) yield

$$B_j(q) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{j,n}(q) = \bigcup_{n=m_1+1}^{\infty} (r_{j,n}, s_{j,n}) \cup O_{j,q,m_1} \tag{7.29}$$

for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$ with $O_{j,q,m_1} := B_j(q) \cap [r_{j,m_1}, \infty)$.

Obviously, for every $x \in E(q)$ there is a unique connected component (a_x, b_x), $a_x = a_x(q)$ and $b_x = b_x(q)$, of the set $E(q)$ such that $x \in (a_x, b_x)$. As is easily seen the following statements are valid:

- The chain $(\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q), \preceq)$ is infinite if there is $t \in (0,\infty)$ such that $a_x > 0$ for every $x \in (0,t) \cap E(q)$;
- Inequality (7.14) holds if there are $t \in (0,\infty), k \in (1,\infty)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k^{-p} x < a_x \tag{7.30}$$

for every $x \in (0,t) \cap E(q)$.

Note also that the inequalities $q_1 \geq q_2 > 1$ imply the inclusion $E(q_1) \supseteq E(q_2)$. Thus, the inclusion $(a_x(q_1), b_x(q_1)) \supseteq (a_x(q_2), b_x(q_2))$ holds if $q_1 \geq q_2 > 1$. Consequently, to prove the first part of the lemma it is sufficient to show that (7.30) holds if

$$q \geq \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in (0, r^1) \cap E(q) \tag{7.31}$$

where

$$r^1 := \bigwedge_{j=1}^{N} r_{j,m_1}. \tag{7.32}$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. To find $k \in (1,\infty)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy (7.30), we define a subset $\boldsymbol{J}_x$ of $\boldsymbol{N}$ by the rule:

$$(j \in \boldsymbol{J}_x) \Leftrightarrow (j \in \boldsymbol{N} \quad \text{and} \quad x \in (0, r^1) \cap B_j(q)), \tag{7.33}$$

where r^1 is defined in (7.32). From (7.33) it is clear that

$$(\boldsymbol{J}_x = \varnothing) \Leftrightarrow (x \in [r^1, \infty) \quad \text{or} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus E(q)). \tag{7.34}$$

Let (7.32) hold and let

$$\theta \in (q^3, q^{3(N+1)}). \tag{7.35}$$

We claim that if $\boldsymbol{J}_x \neq \varnothing \neq \boldsymbol{J}_{\theta^{-1}x}$, then the equality

$$\boldsymbol{J}_x \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{\theta^{-1}x} = \varnothing \tag{7.36}$$

holds. Suppose contrary, that $\boldsymbol{J}_x \neq \varnothing \neq \boldsymbol{J}_{\theta^{-1}x}$ holds, but there is $j_0 \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that $j_0 \in \boldsymbol{J}_x \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{\theta^{-1}x}$. Then, using (7.33), we see that there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \boldsymbol{m_1}$, so that

$$x \in (r_{j_0,n_2}, s_{j_0,n_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{-1}x \in (r_{j_0,n_1}, s_{j_0,n_1}). \tag{7.37}$$

If $n_1 = n_2$, then the inequalities $r_{j_0,n_1} < \theta^{-1}x < x < s_{j_0,n_1}$ hold. Hence, we have

$$\theta = \frac{x}{\theta^{-1}x} \leq \frac{s_{j_0,n_1}}{r_{j_0,n_1}}.$$

Now, using (7.35), we obtain

$$q^3 < \theta \leq \frac{s_{j_0,n_1}}{r_{j_0,n_1}},$$

contrary to (7.25). Hence, $n_1 \neq n_2$. The relations $\theta^{-1}x < x$ and $n_1 \neq n_2$ imply the inequality $n_1 > n_2$. Consequently, $n_2 < n_2 + 1 \leq n_1$. These inequalities and (6.7), imply

$$(r_{j_0,n_2}, s_{j_0,n_2}) \prec (r_{j_0,n_2+1}, s_{j_0,n_2+1}) \preceq (r_{j_0,n_1}, s_{j_0,n_1}).$$

Hence,

$$\theta = \frac{x}{\theta^{-1}x} \geq \frac{r_{j_0,n_1+1}}{s_{j_0,n_1}}. \tag{7.38}$$

From (7.35) and (7.38) it follows that

$$q^{3(N+1)} > \frac{r_{j_0,n_1+1}}{s_{j_0,n_1}},$$

contrary to (7.27). Thus, (7.36) holds, if $\boldsymbol{J}_x \neq \varnothing$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\theta^{-1}x} \neq \varnothing$.

Now, let $k \in (q^3, q^{\frac{3(N+1)}{N}})$. It is simple to prove that

$$q^3 < k < ... < k^N < q^{3(N+1)}.$$

Hence (7.35) holds, if $\theta = k^m$ and $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Consequently, if we have

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-m}x} \neq \varnothing \tag{7.39}$$

for every $m \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{0\}$, then

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-m_1}x} \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-m_2}x} = \varnothing \tag{7.40}$$

for all distinct $m_1, m_2 \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{0\}$. (To see it suppose $m_1 < m_2$ and replace in (7.35) x and $\theta^{-1}x$ by $k^{-m_1}x$ and $k^{-(m_2-m_1)}k^{-m_1}x$ respectively.) By (7.40), $\boldsymbol{J}_x, \boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-1}x}, ...\boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-N}x}$ are disjoint subsets of $\boldsymbol{N}$. Hence, if (7.39) holds, then

$$N = |\boldsymbol{N}| \geq \sum_{l=0}^{N} |\boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-l}x}| \geq \sum_{l=0}^{N} 1 = N + 1. \tag{7.41}$$

This contradiction shows, that there is $l \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{k^{-l}x} = \varnothing. \tag{7.42}$$

Assume that $x \in (0, r^1) \cap E(q)$. By (7.33), equality (7.42) holds if and only if

$$k^{-l}x \in [r^1, \infty) \quad \text{or} \quad k^{-l}x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus E(q).$$

Since $0 < k^{-l}x < x < r^1$, (7.42) yields that $k^{-l}x \notin E(q)$. Since (a_x, b_x) is a connected component of the set $E(q)$, it is proved, that the inequality

$$k^{-N}x < a_x \tag{7.43}$$

holds whenever $x \in (a_x, b_x) \in \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q)$, $x < r^1$ and $q \geq \bigvee\limits_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2$. Since $(\mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q), \preceq)$ is infinite for every $q > 1$, the assertion (7.14) holds for

$$q > q_0 := \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2. \tag{7.44}$$

To complete the proof it suffices to show that (7.15) holds with $M = N$.

Let (7.44) hold and let

$$(a_i, b_i) \in \{(a_n, b_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \mathrm{Cc}^1 E(q).$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define a set $\boldsymbol{J}_i \subseteq \boldsymbol{N}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{J}_i := \bigcup_{x \in (a_i, b_i)} \boldsymbol{J}_x \tag{7.45}$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}_x$ was defined by (7.33). It follows from (7.45) and (7.34) that there is $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\boldsymbol{J}_i \neq \varnothing$ for $i \geq i_0$, i. e.

$$(a_i, b_i) \cap (0, r^1) \cap E(q) \neq \varnothing,$$

for $i \geq i_0$. Hence, without loss of generality, we may suppose that if $x \in (a_i, b_i)$ and $i \geq i_0$, then $x < r^1$. Consequently, for every $i \geq i_0$ there is $l \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{J}_i \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{i+l} \neq \varnothing. \tag{7.46}$$

In the opposite case, the sets $\boldsymbol{J}_l, \boldsymbol{J}_{l+1}, ..., \boldsymbol{J}_{i+N}$ are disjoint nonempty subsets of $\boldsymbol{N}$, which contradicts the equality $|\boldsymbol{N}| = N$. If (7.44) holds, then there are $y_i \in (a_i, b_i)$ and $y_{i+l} \in (a_{i+l}, b_{i+l})$ such that $\boldsymbol{J}_{x_i} \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{x_{i+l}} \neq \varnothing$. Let $j_1 \in \boldsymbol{J}_{x_i} \cap \boldsymbol{J}_{x_{i+l}}$. Then we have

$$y_i, y_{i+l} \in B_{j_1}(q).$$

Using (7.29), we can find $(r_{j_1,n_1}, s_{j_1,n_1})$ and $(r_{j_1,n_2}, s_{j_1,n_2})$ such that $n_1 > n_2$,

$$y_{i+l} \in (r_{j_1,n_1}, s_{j_1,n_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad y_i \in (r_{j_1,n_2}, s_{j_1,n_2}).$$

Indeed, if $n_1 = n_2$, then the points y_i and y_{i+l} belong to one and the same connected component of $E(q)$. Using (7.26), we can show that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{y_i}{y_{i+l}} = \infty. \tag{7.47}$$

Note also that if $b_j < r^1$ and $q \geq \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} q_j^2$, then, using (7.43), we can prove that

$$k^{-N} \leq \frac{a_i}{b_j} \quad \text{for } k \in (q^3, q^{\frac{3(N+1)}{N}}). \tag{7.48}$$

Now (7.47), (7.48) and condition $l \in \boldsymbol{N}$ imply (7.15) with $M = N$. □

Using Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.5, we obtain the following blow up description of the ideal $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \in \mathrm{ac}E$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$;

(ii) *the chain $\mathrm{Cc}^1E(q)$ is infinite for every $q > 1$, $\mathrm{Cc}^1E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, and there are $q_0 > 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \bigvee_{j=0}^{M} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} = \infty \quad \textit{for all } q > q_0.$$

Theorem 7.6 and Theorem 6.6 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 7.7. *We have the inclusion $I(\boldsymbol{CSP}) \subseteq \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$.*

The following example shows that there exists a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $E \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP})$ but $E \notin I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.

Example 7.8. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ define positive numbers $y_{0,j}, y_{1,j}, ..., y_{j,j}$ so that

$$y_{1,j} = \alpha^1 y_{0,j},\ y_{2,j} = \alpha^2 y_{1,j},\ ...,\ y_{j,j} = \alpha^j y_{j-1,j} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{0,j+1} < y_{j,j},$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{y_{j,j}}{y_{0,j+1}} = \infty.$$

Write

$$E = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{j} \{y_{k,j}\} \right).$$

Let $q > 1$. Simple estimations show that $\mathrm{Cc}^1E(q)$ is infinite, $\mathrm{Cc}^1E(q) = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{b_i}{a_i} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^m + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{m-1} + ... + \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1,$$

where m is the smallest positive whole number such that

$$q < \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^m. \tag{7.49}$$

Consequently, by Theorem 6.6, we have

$$E \in \hat{I}(\boldsymbol{SP}).$$

In accordance with Theorem 7.6, the statement $E \in I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$ does not hold if and only if the inequality

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \bigvee_{j=0}^{M} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} < \infty$$

holds for every $q > 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy (7.49). Then we can show that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \bigvee_{j=0}^{M} \frac{a_{n+j}}{b_{n+j+1}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{m+M+1}.$$

Thus, E does not belong to $I(\boldsymbol{CSP})$.

Acknowledgment. The research of the second author was supported as a part of EUMLS project with grant agreement PIRSES−GA−2011−295164.

References

[1] A. Denjoy, *Sur une propriété des séries trigonométriques*, Verlag v.d. G. V. der Wie-en Natuur. Afd., **29** (1920), 220–232.

[2] A. Denjoy, *Leçons sur le calcul des coefficients d'une série trigonométrique, Part II, Métrique et topologie d'ensembles parfaits et de fonctions*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1941.

[3] E. P. Dolženko, *Boundary properties of arbitrary functions*, Mathematics of the USSR – Izvestiya, **1** (1967), 1–12.

[4] O. Dovgoshey and V. Bilet, *Investigations of strong right upper porosity at a point*, Real Anal. Exchange, **39**(1) (2013/2014), 197–228.

[5] O. Dovgoshey and J. Riihentaus, *Mean value type inequalities for quasinearly subharmonic functions*, Glasgow Math. J., **55**(2) (2013), 349–368.

[6] J. Foran and P. Humke, *Some set-theoretic properties of σ-porous sets*, Real Anal. Exchange, **6**(1) (1980-81), 114–119.

[7] E. Harzheim, *Ordered Sets*, Advances in Mathamatics, Vol. 7, Springer, New York, 2007.

[8] P. Humke and T. Vessey, *Another note on σ-porous sets*, Real Anal. Exchange, **8** (1982-83), 262–271.

[9] K. Hrbacek and T. Jech, *Introduction to set theory*, Third Edition, Marcel Dekkev,1999.

[10] L. Karp, T. Kilpeläinen, A. Petrosyan and H. Shahgholian, *On the porosity of free boundaries in degenerate variational inequalities*, J. Differential Equations, **164** (2000), 110–117.

[11] A. Kechris, *Hereditary properties of the class of closed sets of ubiqueness for trigonometric series*, Israel J. Math., **73**(2) (1991), 189–198.

[12] A. Kechris, A. Louveau and W. Woodin, *The structure of σ-ideals of compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **301**(1) (1987), 263–288.

[13] A. Khintchine, *An investigation of the structure of measurable functions*, (Russian), Mat. Sbornik, **31** (1924), 265–285.

[14] F. Przytycki and S. Rohde, *Porosity of Collet-Eckmann Julia sets*, Fund. Math., **155** (1998), 189–190.

[15] M. Repický, *Porous sets and additivity of Lebesgue measure*, Real Anal. Exchange, **15**(1) (1981-90), 282–2982.

[16] O. Semenova and A. Florinskii, *Ideals of porous sets in the real line and in metrizable topological spaces*, J. Math. Sci., New York, **102**(5) (2000), 4508–4522; translated from Probl. Math. Anal., **20** (2000), 221–242.

[17] B. S. Thomson, *Real Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1170**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985.

[18] J. Tkadlec, *Constructions of some non-σ-porous sets on the real line*, Real Anal. Exchange, **9**(2) (1983-84), 473–482.

[19] J. Väisälä, *Porous sets and quasisymmetric maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **299** (1987), 525–533.

[20] L. Zajiček, *On cluster sets of arbitrary functions*, Fund. Math., **83** (1974), 197–217.

[21] L. Zajiček, *Porosity and σ-porosity*, Real Anal. Exchange, **13** (1987-88), 314–350.

[22] L. Zajiček, *On σ-porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2005**(5) (2005), 509–534.

[23] L. Zajiček and M. Zelený, *On the complexity of some σ-ideals of σ-P-porous sets*, Comment Math. Univ. Carolin, **44**(3) (2003), 531–554.

[24] M. Zelený and J. Pelant, *The structure of the σ-ideals of σ-porous sets*, Comment Math. Univ. Carolin, **45**(1) (2004), 37–72.

Viktoriia Bilet
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NASU, R. Luxemburg str. 74, Donetsk 83114, Ukraine
E-mail: biletvictoriya@mail.ru

Oleksiy Dovgoshey
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NASU, R. Luxemburg str. 74, Donetsk 83114, Ukraine
E-mail: aleksdov@mail.ru

Jürgen Prestin
Institut fuer Mathematik, Universität zu Lübeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, D-23562 Lübeck, Germany
E-mail: prestin@math.uni-luebeck.de